

# Local restrictions to Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Eduardo Soto,

joint work with Luis Dieulefait

supported by MICINN grant MTM2016-78623-P

STNB 2019

January 27, 2019



UNIVERSITAT DE  
BARCELONA



# Pitagorean triplet

Consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$$

# Pitagorean triplet

Consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$$

It is well known that this equation has solutions with integer coordinates.

# Pitagorean triplet

Consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$$

It is well known that this equation has solutions with integer coordinates. Here are some,

(3, 4, 5) (5, 12, 13) (8, 15, 17) (7, 24, 25) (20, 21, 29) (12, 35, 37) (9, 40, 41)  
(28, 45, 53) (11, 60, 61) (16, 63, 65) (33, 56, 65) (48, 55, 73), ...

# Pitagorean triplet

Consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$$

It is well known that this equation has solutions with integer coordinates.

The projective curve  $C : X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$  has genus 0. Since  $C(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$  then the set  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  is infinite and admits a polynomial parametrization, over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Fermat equation

Let  $p \geq 3$  prime and consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0.$$

# Fermat equation

Let  $p \geq 3$  prime and consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0.$$

Fermat (1637): “I can nicely prove that previous equation has no integer solution other than  $(0, a, -a)$ ,  $(a, 0, -a)$ ,  $(a, -a, 0)$  but the margin in this Journal is so small...”

# Fermat equation

Let  $p \geq 3$  prime and consider the Diophantine equation

$$X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0.$$

Fermat (1637): “Cubum autem in duos cubos, aut quadratoquadratum in duos quadratoquadratos, et generaliter nullam in infinitum ultra quadratum potestatem in duos eiusdem nominis fas est dividere cuius rei demonstrationem mirabilem sane detexi, hanc marginis exiguitas non caperet.”



More than 350 years later...

More than 350 years later...

Theorem[Wiles, 1995]

Fermat last theorem is true.

More than 350 years later...

Theorem[Wiles, 1995]

Fermat last theorem is true.

Proof.

Semistable rational elliptic curves are modular and so Fermat is true. □

# Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Let  $a, b, c$  non-zero integers. We refer to the Diophantine equation

$$C_{(a,b,c),p} : aX^p + bY^p + cZ^p = 0$$

as the Fermat equation of degree  $p$  with coefficients  $(a, b, c)$ .

# Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Let  $a, b, c$  non-zero integers. We refer to the Diophantine equation

$$C_{(a,b,c),p} : aX^p + bY^p + cZ^p = 0$$

as the Fermat equation of degree  $p$  with coefficients  $(a, b, c)$ .

It is a nonsingular plane curve. For  $p \geq 5$  its genus is  $\geq 2$  and hence  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite.

# Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Let  $a, b, c$  non-zero integers. We refer to the Diophantine equation

$$C_{(a,b,c),p} : aX^p + bY^p + cZ^p = 0$$

as the Fermat equation of degree  $p$  with coefficients  $(a, b, c)$ .

It is a nonsingular plane curve. For  $p \geq 5$  its genus is  $\geq 2$  and hence  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  is finite.

What generalization should one expect?

# Examples

The curve

$$(2^p + 1)X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0$$

has a rational point  $(1, -2, -1)$ . Hence next statement is false.

# Examples

The curve

$$(2^p + 1)X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0$$

has a rational point  $(1, -2, -1)$ . Hence next statement is false.

## Non - Theorem

There is a prime  $p_0$  independent of  $(a, b, c)$  such that for every  $p > p_0$  and every  $(a, b, c)$

$$aX^p + bY^p + cZ^p = 0$$

has no projective points.



Another non theorem:

Another non theorem:

### Non - Theorem

Let  $(a, b, c)$  non-zero. There is  $p_0 = p_0(a, b, c)$  such that if  $p > p_0$  then  $C_{(a,b,c),p}$  is empty.

Another non theorem:

### Non - Theorem

Let  $(a, b, c)$  non-zero. There is  $p_0 = p_0(a, b, c)$  such that if  $p > p_0$  then  $C_{(a,b,c),p}$  is empty.

$X^p + Y^p + 2Z^p = 0$  has solution  $[1 : 1 : -1]$  for every  $p$ .

# Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

## Conjecture[Kraus-Mazur]

Fix  $(a, b, c)$  integers. The set of solutions for previous equation for some prime  $p \geq 5$  is finite in some sense.

# Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Conjecture[Kraus-Mazur]

Fix  $(a, b, c)$  integers. The set

$$AF_{a,b,c} = \bigcup_{p \geq 5} C_{(a,b,c),p}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

is finite.

# Known cases

- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$  was proved by A. Wiles.

# Known cases

- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$  was proved by A. Wiles.
- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, q^r)$  was proved by Serre, and Mazur.

# Known cases

- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$  was proved by A. Wiles.
- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, q^r)$  was proved by Serre, and Mazur.
- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 2^r)$  was proved by Ribet and Darmon-Merel.



## Known cases

- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 1)$  was proved by A. Wiles.
- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, q^r)$  was proved by Serre, and Mazur.
- Case  $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 2^r)$  was proved by Ribet and Darmon-Merel.

The problem becomes harder when trying to add more primes in  $a, b, c$ .

## $S$ -unit equations

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be non-zero integers. A solution to the  $S$ -unit equation

$$\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$$

is a triple of nonzero integers  $(x, y, z)$  satisfying the equation such that  $\text{rad}(xyz) = S$ .

## $S$ -unit equations

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be non-zero integers. A solution to the  $S$ -unit equation

$$\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$$

is a triple of nonzero integers  $(x, y, z)$  satisfying the equation such that  $\text{rad}(xyz) = S$ .

### Example 1

Let  $S = \emptyset$ . Are there nonzero integers  $x, y, z$  such that

$$x + y + z = 0$$

and  $xyz$  is has no prime divisors?

## $S$ -unit equations

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be non-zero integers. A solution to the  $S$ -unit equation

$$\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$$

is a triple of nonzero integers  $(x, y, z)$  satisfying the equation such that  $\text{rad}(xyz) = S$ .

### Example 1

Let  $S = \emptyset$ . Are there nonzero integers  $x, y, z$  such that

$$x + y + z = 0$$

and  $xyz$  is has no prime divisors?

This is equivalent to the question: is there a combination of signs so that  $\pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 1 = 0$ .

## $S$ -unit equations

Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be non-zero integers. A solution to the  $S$ -unit equation

$$\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$$

is a triple of nonzero integers  $(x, y, z)$  satisfying the equation such that  $\text{rad}(xyz) = S$ .

### Example 1

Let  $S = \emptyset$ . Are there nonzero integers  $x, y, z$  such that

$$x + y + z = 0$$

and  $xyz$  is has no prime divisors?

This is equivalent to the question: is there a combination of signs so that  $\pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 1 = 0$ . No, since the sum of three odd numbers is odd.

## Example 2

Let  $S = \{2, p\}$ ,  $p \geq 3$ . Has the  $S$ -unit equation  $X + Y + Z = 0$  a solution?

## Example 2

Let  $S = \{2, p\}$ ,  $p \geq 3$ . Has the  $S$ -unit equation  $X + Y + Z = 0$  a solution?

In this case it is possible. For instance  $p = 2^n \pm 1$ , i.e.  $p$  Mersenne or Fermat prime.

## Theorem[Kraus,1997]

Let  $(a, b, c)$  be integers, coprime nonzero. Let  $S$  be the set of primes dividing  $abc$ . There is an  $S$ -unit equation  $\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$  depending on  $(a, b, c)$  such that if it has no solution then asymptotic Fermat Conjecture is true.



## Theorem[Kraus,1997]

Let  $(a, b, c)$  be integers, coprime nonzero. Let  $S$  be the set of primes dividing  $abc$ . There is an  $S$ -unit equation  $\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$  depending on  $(a, b, c)$  such that if it has no solution then asymptotic Fermat Conjecture is true.

## Corollary 1

*Asymptotic Fermat Conjecture  $X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0$  is true for  $p$  big enough.*

## Theorem[Kraus,1997]

Let  $(a, b, c)$  be integers, coprime nonzero. Let  $S$  be the set of primes dividing  $abc$ . There is an  $S$ -unit equation  $\alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z = 0$  depending on  $(a, b, c)$  such that if it has no solution then asymptotic Fermat Conjecture is true.

## Corollary 1

*Asymptotic Fermat Conjecture  $X^p + Y^p + Z^p = 0$  is true for  $p$  big enough.*

## Corollary 2

*Also if  $q$  is not Mersenne or Fermat prime then asymptotic Fermat conjecture  $X^p + 2^s Y^p + q^r Z^p = 0$  is true.*

# Known facts on $S$ -units

## Theorem 3

*Let  $S$  be a set of primes. An  $S$ -unit equation has at most a finite number of solutions.*

# Known facts on $S$ -units

## Theorem 3

*Let  $S$  be a set of primes. An  $S$ -unit equation has at most a finite number of solutions.*

It is a direct consequence of Faltings theorem.

# Known facts on $S$ -units

## Theorem 3

*Let  $S$  be a set of primes. An  $S$ -unit equation has at most a finite number of solutions.*

It is a direct consequence of Faltings theorem.  
 $S$ -unit equations are tough.

Case  $|S| = 2$ 

Theorem 4 (Dieulefait, S.)

*The equation*

$$2^r q^s = \ell^{2t} - 1$$

*for  $q, \ell$  primes,  $r, s, t$  positive. Then the equation is one of the following*

$$2^3 3 = 5^2 - 1$$

$$2^4 3 = 7^2 - 1$$

$$2^4 5 = 3^4 - 1.$$

Case  $|S| = 2$ 

Theorem 4 (Dieulefait, S.)

*The equation*

$$2^r q^s = \ell^{2t} - 1$$

*for  $q, \ell$  primes,  $r, s, t$  positive. Then the equation is one of the following*

$$2^3 3 = 5^2 - 1$$

$$2^4 3 = 7^2 - 1$$

$$2^4 5 = 3^4 - 1.$$

The proof uses Catalan Conjecture, Mihăilescu's theorem.

# The theorem

## Theorem 5 (Dieulefait, S.)

Let  $n$  be an odd integer  $\geq 3$ . Let  $S = q_1 \cdots q_s$  be primes  $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4n}$ . Assume that  $\text{rad}(abc) = S$ . Then the asymptotic Fermat conjecture

$$ax^p + by^p + cz^p = 0$$

is true.



# The theorem

## Theorem 5 (Dieulefait, S.)

Let  $n$  be an odd integer  $\geq 3$ . Let  $S = q_1 \cdots q_s$  be primes  $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4n}$ . Assume that  $\text{rad}(abc) = S$ . Then the asymptotic Fermat conjecture

$$ax^p + by^p + cz^p = 0$$

is true.

## Proof.

The  $S$ -unit equation attached to  $(a, b, c)$  is  $16X + Y + Z = 0$ . It has no solution for a set  $S$  of primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4n}$ .  $\square$

## A question

It is due to Selmer that equation

$$3X^p + 4Y^p + 5Z^p = 0$$

has no solution for  $p = 3$ .

## A question

It is due to Selmer that equation

$$3X^p + 4Y^p + 5Z^p = 0$$

has no solution for  $p = 3$ .

It is corresponding  $S$ -unit equation

$$X + 4Y + Z = 0$$

has solution  $9, 4, -25$ .

## A question

It is due to Selmer that equation

$$3X^p + 4Y^p + 5Z^p = 0$$

has no solution for  $p = 3$ .

It is corresponding  $S$ -unit equation

$$X + 4Y + Z = 0$$

has solution  $9, 4, -25$ .

Krauss theorem *does not apply*.

Thank you for your attention!

# Thank you for your attention!

Dieulefait & Soto: Solving  $ax^p + by^p = cz^p$  with  $abc$  containing an arbitrary number of prime factors, *arxiv*

# Local restrictions to Asymptotic Fermat with coefficients

Eduardo Soto,

joint work with Luis Dieulefait

supported by MICINN grant MTM2016-78623-P

STNB 2019

January 27, 2019



UNIVERSITAT DE  
BARCELONA

